- 1 Supplemental Materials
- 3 4 5

2

Simulating participant behavior in non-stimulus trials

6 We conducted a simulation to determine whether the number of times individuals first

7 approached a given side (i.e. left room or right room) in their three non-stimulus trials differed

8 from that expected by chance. In our simulation, we randomly selected the left or right room

9 (with 50:50 chance) for each participant for each of three trials. We repeated these

10 randomizations 1000 times and compared this null dataset to the observed data. For each

11 outcome calculated from the observed data, we found the proportion of simulated outcomes that

12 fell below it. If the proportion was greater than 0.5, we subtracted this value from 1. We then 13 multiplied this proportion by 2 to obtain the 2-tailed p-value (Farine, 2017) (Supplemental Table

13 multiplied this proportion by 2 to obtain the 2-tailed p-value (Farme, 2017) (Supplemental Table 14 2).

14 2 15

16 Simulating participant behavior in study trials

We simulated an experiment to generate a null dataset that retains most aspects of the observed

19 data while removing any relationship between the side from which a stimulus is presented and

20 the side a participant first approaches. For each participant, we chose the room they approached 21 first in each of the study conditions (Silence, Control Call, Rough-grunt) by randomly selecting

22 (with no replacement) one of the sides they approached in their three non-stimulus trials. This

23 simulates a context in which participants display realistic behavior that is not impacted by the

24 presentation of stimuli. For the Rough-grunt and Control Call trials, we then randomly assigned

25 the side from which the stimulus was presented to each participant, while keeping the number of

times a stimulus was presented on the left vs. right side the same as in the experiment. For

27 example, in the Rough grunt condition, 8 participants were presented with the stimulus on the

- right side and 4 were presented with the stimulus on the left side. We then calculated how many times participants first approached the side from which the stimulus was presented. We repeated
- 29 times participants first approached the side from which the stimulus was presented. We repeated 30 these randomizations 1000 times, and compared this null dataset to the observed data. For each

31 outcome calculated from the observed data, we found the proportion of simulated outcomes that

32 fell below it. If the proportion was greater than 0.5, we subtracted this value from 1. We then

- 33 multiplied this proportion by 2 to obtain the 2-tailed p-value (Farine, 2017) (Supplemental Table
- 34 3).
- 35

36 References

37

Farine, D. R. (2017). A guide to null models for animal social network analysis.
http://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12772

Suppremental Tuble 1. Duble demographie information for stady participants						
Participant	Group ID	Age	Sex	Birth and Rearing Environmen		
ID						
BD	1	32	Female	Captive Born, Nursery Reared		
JD	1	20	Male	Captive Born, Nursery Reared		
KB	1	38*	Male	Wild Born		
PT	1	41*	Female	Wild Born		
TK	1	30	Female	Captive Born, Mother Reared		
QY	1	39*	Female	Wild Born		
BK	2	25	Female	Captive Born, Nursery Reared		
GI	2	27	Male	Captive Born, Mother Reared		
KK	2	26	Male	Captive Born, Mother Reared		
KP	2	19	Female	Captive Born, Mother Reared		
NO	2	24	Male	Captive Born, Mother Reared		
ТА	2	21	Female	Captive Born, Mother Reared		

41 Supplemental Table 1. Basic demographic information for study participants

42 Data on each participant's age and rearing environment were obtained from records kept by staff

43 members at KCCMR. Asterisks following the ages of the wild-born participants indicate that

44 their ages are estimated since their exact years of birth are not known. Age is calculated with

45 reference to the start of our study in June 2010.

46

	Observed	Lower 95% Confidence Interval in Simulation	Upper 95% Confidence Interval in Simulation	P-value
Number of participants that first approached the left and right rooms at least once	10	6	11	0.74
Number of participants that first approached the right room on all three trials	1	0	4	0.42
Number of participants that first approached the left room on all three trials	1	0	4	0.39
Number of participants that first approached more rooms on the right side than the left side	9	3	9	0.14

48 Supplemental Table 2. Results of simulating participant behavior in non-stimulus trials

49 A comparison of results from our simulated and observed datasets regarding participant

50 tendencies to first approach the left and right rooms during non-stimulus trials (i.e. Training Trial

51 1, Training Trial 2, Silence condition).

	Observed	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	P-value
		Confidence	Confidence	
		Interval in	Interval in	
		Simulation	Simulation	
Number of participants that first	8	4	10	0.72
approached the right room				
Number of participants that first	10	3	9	0.046
approached the stimulus room in				
the Rough Grunt condition				
Number of participants that first	7	2	9	0.58
approached the stimulus room in				
the Control Call condition				

Supplemental Table 3. Results of simulating participant behavior in study trials

A comparison of results from our simulated and observed datasets regarding the number of

participants that first approached given rooms during study trials (Silence, Rough Grunt, Control

Call conditions)

Participant ID	Trial Type	Trial Order	Stimulus Side	Approach First	Feed First
BD	Training 1	1	NA	Right	Right
	Training 2	2	NA	Left	Left
	Control	3	Left	Right	Right
	RG	5	Right	Right	Right
	Silence	4	NA	Right	Right
	Training 1	1	NA	Right	Left
	Training 2	2	NA	Right	Left
PT	Control	4	Left	Left	Left
	RG	3	Right	Right	Left
	Silence	5	NA	Left	Left
	Training 1	1	NA	Right	Right
	Training 2	2	NA	Right	Right
KB	Control	4	Right	Left	Left
	RG	5	Right	Right	Right
	Silence	3	NA	Left	Left
	Training 1	1	NA	Right	Right
	Training 2	2	NA	Right	Right
BK	Control	5	Right	Right	Right
	RG	4	Right	Right	Right
	Silence	3	NA	Right	Right
	Training 1	1	NA	Right	Right
	Training 2	2	NA	Left	Left
GI	Control	3	Right	Right	Right
	RG	5	Right	Right	Right
	Silence	4	NA	Right	Right
NO	Training 1	1	NA	Left	Left
	Training 2	2	NA	Right	Right
	Control	4	Left	Right	Right
	RG	5	Right	Right	Right
	Silence	3	NA	Right	Left
JD	Training 1	1	NA	Right	Right
	Training 2	2	NA	Left	Left
	Control	3	Right	Right	Right
	RG	5	Right	Right	Left
	Silence	4	NA	Right	Right
TK	Training 1	1	NA	Left	Left

58 Supplemental Table 4: Investigation and feeding behavior of participants in each condition

	Training 2	2	NA	Left	Left
	Control	5	Left	Left	Left
	RG	3	Left	Left	Left
	Silence	4	NA	Left	Left
	Training 1	1	NA	Left	Left
	Training 2	2	NA	Right	Right
QY	Control	5	Left	Left	Right
	RG	4	Right	Left	Left
	Silence	3	NA	Left	Right
	Training 1	1	NA	Left	Right
KK	Training 2	2	NA	Left	Left
	Control	4	Left	Left	Left
	RG	3	Left	Right	Right
	Silence	5	NA	Right	Left
КР	Training 1	1	NA	Left	Right
	Training 2	2	NA	Right	Right
	Control	5	Left	Right	Left
	RG	3	Left	Left	Right
	Silence	4	NA	Right	Right
ТА	Training 1	1	NA	Left	Right
	Training 2	2	NA	Right	Right
	Control	3	Left	Right	Left
	RG	4	Left	Left	Right
	Silence	5	NA	Right	Right

The 'Stimulus side' column indicates whether a given stimulus was broadcast from the left or right food presentation room in the Rough Grunt and Control Call conditions.

62 Supplemental Figure 1









66 Non-stimulus Room when presented with each call type. Raspberry, pant hoot and pant grunt

67 vocalizations were presented in the Control Call condition. Only rough grunts were presented in

68 the Rough Grunt condition.



69 Su

70

71 72

A count of the number of participants that a) first approached or b) first fed in the Stimulus or

73 Non-stimulus Room when presented with control calls ("Control") or rough grunts ("RG")

74 belonging to a given stimulus pair (A-D). Each stimulus pair was produced by one of four

75 individuals. Three participants were presented with one stimulus pair which was recorded from a

76 member of their own social group (Table 1).

- 78 79 Supplemental Figure 3



- The number of participants that first approached the room on the left or right side in each
- 80 81 82 condition

83 Supplemental Figure 4





85 86 87

The number of non-stimulus trials (Training Trial 1, Training Trial 2, Silence condition) in

88 which each participant first approached the room on the left or right side

89 Supplementary Audio Files

- 91 Audio files of all playback stimuli used in the study have been provided in the Supplementary
- 92 Materials. Rough grunt and control call file labels A-D correspond to the information provided in
- 93 Table 1 and Supplemental Figure 2.